

## References

X-21

1. Zuley ML, Bandos AI, Ganott MA, et al. Digital breast tomosynthesis versus supplemental diagnostic mammographic views for evaluation of noncalcified breast lesions. *Radiology*. 2013;266(1):89-95.
2. Haas BM, Kalra V, Geisel J, et al. Comparison of Tomosynthesis Plus Digital Mammography and Digital Mammography Alone for Breast Cancer Screening. *Radiology*. July 2013.
3. Destounis S, Arieno, Morgan R. Initial experience with combination digital breast tomosynthesis plus full fields digital mammography or full field digital mammography alone in the screening environment. *Journal of Clinical Imaging Science*. 2014; 4(1):1-6.
4. Friedewald SM, Rafferty EA, Rose SL, et al. Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2014; 311(24):2499-2507.
5. Greenberg JS, Javitt MC, Katzen J, Michael S, Holland AE. Clinical performance metrics of 3D digital breast tomosynthesis compared with 2D digital mammography for breast cancer screening in community practice. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2014; 203(1):1-7.
6. Patycka L, Lourenco AP, Mainiero MB. Detection of mammographically occult architectural distortion on digital breast tomosynthesis screening: Initial clinical experience. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2014; 203(1):2016-222.
7. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: breast cancer screening; date of origin. 2015.
8. American College of Radiology. Position statements: ACR Statement on Breast Tomosynthesis, 2014
9. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG Practice Advisory on Breast Cancer Screening, 2015.
10. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer Screening and Diagnosis, Version 1.2015. Updated July 7, 2015.
11. Rose SL, Tidwell AL, Bujnoch LJ, et al. Implementation of Breast Tomosynthesis in a Routine Screening Practice: An Observational Study. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2013; 200(6):1401-1408.
12. Skaane P, Bandos A, Gullien R et al. Comparison of Digital Mammography Alone and Digital Mammography plus Tomosynthesis in a Population-based Screening Program. *Radiology*. 2013; 267(1):47-56.
13. Tagliafico A, Mariscotti G, Durando M, et al. Characterisation of microcalcification clusters on 2D digital mammography (FFDM) and digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): does DBT underestimate microcalcification clusters? Results of a multicentre study. *European Radiology*. Jan 2015; 25(1):9-14.

14. Sumkin J, Ganott M, Chough D, et al. Recall Rate Reduction with Tomosynthesis During Baseline Screening Examinations: An Assessment From a Prospective Trial. *Academic Radiology*. 2015;22(12):1477-1482.
15. Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomis D, et al. Breast-cancer screening--viewpoint of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Working Group. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2015; 372(24):2353-2358.
16. Simon S. American Cancer Society releases new breast cancer guideline. American Cancer Society. 2016.
17. Viale P. The American Cancer Society Guidelines on Screening for Breast Cancer: What's New? *Journal of Advanced Practitioner in Oncology*. 2015; 6:508–510.
18. Hayes Inc. Medical Technology Directory. Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for Breast Cancer Diagnosis and Screening. October 24, 2017. Accessed April 30, 2018.
19. Lång K, Nergården M, Andersson I, Rosso A, Zackrisson S. False positives in breast cancer screening with one-view breast tomosynthesis: An analysis of findings leading to recall, work-up and biopsy rates in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. *European Radiology*. 2016;26(11):3899-3907.